

A Novel Chitosan-based Dressing to Seal Renal Parenchymal Wound Following Laparoscopic Partial Nephrectomy: Preliminary Results in Swine

Hua Xie, M.D., Ph.D.^{1*}, Jeffrey S. Teach, R.N.¹, Maria C. Anderson, R.N.¹,
Barbara A. McGrath, B.S.², Simon J. McCarthy, Ph.D.²

¹Oregon Medical Laser Center, Providence St. Vincent Medical Center, Portland, OR
²HemCon, Inc. Tigard, OR

ABSTRACT

Purpose: We evaluate the feasibility of using a chitosan-based hemostatic dressing to control hemorrhage and urinary leakage by sealing off the parenchymal wound following laparoscopic partial nephrectomy in an anticoagulated porcine model.

Materials and Methods: Nine heparinized domestic swine underwent bilateral laparoscopic partial nephrectomies involving either a polar (N=13) or wedge resection (N=5). Estimated blood loss (EBL), hemostatic score, operative time and adhesion score of the chitosan dressing were recorded. Retrograde pyelography was performed to assess the urine extravasation.

Results: Of 18 procedures, 17 successfully achieved complete hemostasis after deployment of the chitosan dressing. The hemostasis score was significantly improved after the

deployment in both polar ($p < 0.001$) and wedge ($p = 0.017$) resections. The rate of successful pyelocaliceal seal was 85% (11/13) in polar and 60% (3/5) in wedge resections. There were no statistical differences in operative time, EBL, and change of hemostasis score between both procedures.

Table 2. Hemostasis score of pre- and post LPN with chitosan dressings

	Pre-LPN	Post LPN	p value*
Polar resection	1.0 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 0.3	< 0.001
Wedge resection	0.4 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 1.3	0.017

* Wilcoxon signed-rank test

Conclusions: The novel chitosan-based hemostatic dressing is effective as a primary or supplemental treatment for sealing the parenchymal wound in laparoscopic partial nephrectomy in the animal model. A long-term chronic study is warranted.

Table 1. Summarized information on LPN with chitosan dressings

	All LPN (N=18)	Pole (N=13)	Wedge (N=5)	p value*
Body weight, kg.	5.6 ± 0.8			
Kidney weight, g.	117 ± 17	111 ± 15	113 ± 22	0.79
Resection weight, g.	2.4 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.6	0.19
Resection area, cm sq.	1.2 ± 0.6	1.1 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 1.1	0.55
Number of applications (range)	1.8 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 0.7 (1 to 3)	2.4 ± 1.1 (1 to 4)	0.09
Operative time, min.	17 ± 15	14 ± 9	27 ± 25	0.29
EBL, ml., (range)	71 ± 104	51 ± 74 (10 to 250)	121 ± 160 (10 to 400)	0.40
ges of hemostasis score (range)	3.2 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 0.8 (2 to 4)	3 ± 1.7 (0 to 4)	0.50
Adhesive score (range)	3.3 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.6 (2 to 4)	2.8 ± 1.1 (1 to 4)	0.29

* Pole vs. Wedge